arXiv:1411.7763v1 [math-ph] 28 Nov 2014

# A POLYNOMIAL FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTION OF 3D REFLECTION EQUATION

ATSUO KUNIBA AND SHOUYA MARUYAMA

**Abstract**

We introduce a family of polynomials in $q^2$ and four variables associated with the quantized algebra of functions $A_q(C_2)$. A new formula is presented for the recent solution of the 3D reflection equation in terms of these polynomials specialized to the eigenvalues of the $q$-oscillator operators.

## 1. Introduction

In quantum integrable systems in 3 dimension (3D), an important role is played by the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation [17] and the Isaev-Kulish 3D reflection equation [4]:

$$R_{356}R_{246}R_{145}R_{123} = R_{123}R_{145}R_{246}R_{356}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$R_{456}R_{489}K_{3579}R_{269}R_{258}K_{1678}K_{1234} = K_{1234}K_{1678}R_{258}R_{269}K_{3579}R_{489}R_{456}. \tag{1.2}$$

They are equalities among linear operators acting on the tensor product of 6 and 9 vector spaces, respectively. The indices specify the components in the tensor product on which the operators $R$ and $K$ act nontrivially. They serve as 3D analogue of the Yang-Baxter [1] and the reflection equations postulating certain factorization conditions on straight strings which undergo the scattering $R$ and the reflection $K$ by a boundary plane. We call the scattering and the reflection operators as 3D $R$ and 3D $K$ for short.

The first nontrivial solution $K$ to the 3D reflection equation (1.2) was constructed in [7] based on the representation theory [15] of the quantized algebra of functions $A_q(C_n)$ [12]. It is essentially obtained as the intertwiner of the two equivalent irreducible $A_q(C_2)$ modules $\mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \simeq \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2}$, where $\mathcal{F}_q, \mathcal{F}_{q^2}$ are the Fock spaces of the $q$-oscillators $\langle \mathbf{a}^{\pm}, \mathbf{k} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{A}^{\pm}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$. See (2.1). The $K$ is also characterized as the transition matrix of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) bases of the positive part of $U_q(C_2)$ [9]. See (2.9). Matrix elements of the $K$ are polynomials in $q$ whose $q \to 0$ limits are still known to yield a decent set-theoretical solution to the 3D reflection equation [7]. So far a general formula for the $K$ is only available in [7, Th.3.4], which consists of sums of ratio of many $q$-factorials. See (3.1).

The status contrasts with the relevant 3D $R$, the companion object in (1.2), for which a number of results have been established. It was originally obtained as the intertwiner of the quantized algebra of functions $A_q(A_2)$ [6] and found later also in a quantum geometry consideration [2]. They were shown to be the same object in [7]. Several formulas are available including [2, eq.(30)], [3, eq.(58)], [7, eq.(2.20)] (a correction of the misprint in [6, p194]), [14, eq. (104)] and [8, eq.(9)].

The purpose of this paper is to provide the relatively intact 3D $K$ with the new explicit formulas (3.2) and (3.7) which are more structural than the previous one in [7]. We introduce a family of polynomials $\{Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \mid (b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q^2]$ that are characterized by a system of $q$-difference equations. The equations are polynomial forms of the intertwining relations for the 3D $K$ where the four variables $x, y, z, w$ correspond to the four positive roots of $C_2$. See (2.8). The elements of the $K$ are expressed as a specialization of the polynomials to the eigenvalues of the $q$-oscillator operators $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{K}$. See Example 3.5.

Our new formula may be viewed as a generalization of the analogous result on the 3D $R$ in [2, 3]. It is still a cumbersome expression reflecting a significantly more involved nature of the $K$ compared with the $R$. However extracting the polynomial structure implies an "analytic continuation" of the

eigenvalues of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}$ to generic variables, which is an important step toward a possible extension to the modular double setting. A more account on this will be given in Section 5. See also [3, 10] and reference therein for the generalization and application of the 3D $R$ associated with the modular double. We hope to report on this issue elsewhere.

In Section 2 the origin of the 3D $K$ is recalled based on [7] and [9]. In Section 3 the polynomials $Q_{b,c}(x, y, z, w)$ are introduced and some basic properties are established. The new formulas for the $K$ are presented with a proof. In Section 4 a review of the analogous result on the 3D $R$ [2, 3] is given for comparison. It also includes supplementary $q$-difference equations, an example of the proof of the integral formula [14, eq. (104)] and a derivation of the new formula for the matrix elements of the 3D $R$ [8, eq. (9)]. Section 5 contains a summary and an outlook. Appendix A lists the intertwining relations of the $K$ and the corresponding $q$-difference equations of $Q_{b,c}(x, y, z, w)$.

We assume that $q$ is generic and use the notation

$$(z;q)_n = \frac{(z;q)_\infty}{(zq^n;q)_\infty}, \quad (q)_n = (q;q)_n, \quad \binom{r_1,\dots,r_m}{s_1,\dots,s_n}_q = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (q)_{r_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (q)_{s_i}} \tag{1.3}$$

in terms of $(z;q)_\infty = \prod_{j\geq 0}(1 - zq^j)$. They will only be used for integer indices, therefore the assumption $|q| < 1$ is not necessary despite the formal appearance of the infinite product. The last symbol will be used without assuming $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$. An important consequence of these definitions is the support property: $1/(q)_n = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. Thus $\binom{r_1,\dots,r_m}{s_1,\dots,s_n}_q = 0$ if $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{<0} = \emptyset$ and $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \neq \emptyset$.

## 2. Origin of 3D $K$

2.1. **$K$ as the intertwiner of $A_q(C_2)$ modules.** We recall the definition of $A_q(C_n)$ [12], where it was denoted by $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sp}_q(n))$. First introduce the structure constants $(R_{ij,kl})_{1\leq i,j,k,l\leq 2n}$ and $C = -C^{-1} = (C_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{ij,kl} E_{ik} \otimes E_{jl} &= q \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i\neq j, j'} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + q^{-1} \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes E_{i'i'} \\
&\quad + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i>j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} - (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i>j} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j q^{\varrho_i - \varrho_j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{i'j'}, \\
C_{ij} &= \delta^i_{j'} \epsilon_i q^{\varrho_j}, \quad i' = 2n + 1 - i, \quad \epsilon_i = 1\,(1 \leq i \leq n), \ \ \epsilon_i = -1\,(n < i \leq 2n), \\
(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{2n}) &= (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0, 0, -1, \dots, -n+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $E_{ij}$ is a matrix unit and the indices are summed over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ under the specified conditions. The $\sum R_{ij,kl} E_{ik} \otimes E_{jl}$ is a limit of the quantum $R$ matrix for the vector representation of $U_q(C_n)$ given in [5, eq.(3.6)].

The quantized algebra of functions $A_q(C_n)$ is a Hopf algebra generated by $T = (t_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2n}$ with the relations symbolically expressed as $R(T \otimes T) = (T \otimes T)R$ and $TCT^tC^{-1} = CT^tC^{-1}T = I$. Explicitly they read

$$\sum_{m,p} R_{ij,mp} t_{mk} t_{pl} = \sum_{m,p} t_{jp} t_{im} R_{mp,kl}, \quad \sum_{jkl} C_{jk} C_{lm} t_{ij} t_{lk} = \sum_{jkl} C_{ij} C_{kl} t_{kj} t_{lm} = -\delta^i_m,$$

where $\delta^i_m = 1$ if $i = m$ and 0 otherwise. The coproduct is given by $\Delta(t_{ij}) = \sum_k t_{ik} \otimes t_{kj}$. We will use the symbol $\Delta$ to also mean the multiple coproducts like $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$, etc.

To describe the representations of $A_q(C_2)$, we introduce the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q = \oplus_{m\geq 0} \mathbb{C}(q)|m\rangle$ equipped with the $q$-oscillator operators $\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{k}$ acting as

$$\mathbf{k}|m\rangle = q^m |m\rangle, \ \mathbf{a}^+|m\rangle = |m+1\rangle, \ \mathbf{a}^-|m\rangle = (1 - q^{2m})|m-1\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{q^2}, \mathbf{A}^+, \mathbf{A}^-$ and $\mathbf{K}$ denote the corresponding $q$-oscillator operators with $q$ replaced by $q^2$. Thus $\mathbf{K}|m\rangle = q^{2m}|m\rangle$, $\mathbf{A}^+|m\rangle = |m+1\rangle$, $\mathbf{A}^-|m\rangle = (1 - q^{4m})|m-1\rangle$.

Now we consider the $n = 2$ case. Then for $T = (t_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 4}$ the maps

$$\pi_1(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1\mathbf{a}^- & \alpha_1\mathbf{k} & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1\mathbf{k} & \nu_1\mathbf{a}^+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1^{-1}\mathbf{a}^- & q\beta_1^{-1}\mathbf{k} \\ 0 & 0 & q\alpha_1^{-1}\mathbf{k} & \mu_1^{-1}\mathbf{a}^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad (-q^{-1}\alpha_1\beta_1 = \mu_1\nu_1 = \epsilon = \pm 1), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\pi_2(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho\mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2\mathbf{A}^- & \alpha_2\mathbf{K} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2\mathbf{K} & \mu_2^{-1}\mathbf{A}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho^{-1}\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\rho = \pm 1,\ \alpha_2\beta_2 = -q^2) \tag{2.3}$$

give the irreducible representations $\pi_i : A_q(C_2) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_{q^i})$ [7]. Here $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity operator on $\mathcal{F}_{q^2}$. The parameters $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \nu_i$ are to obey the constraints in the parentheses. According to [15], irreducible representations of $A_q(C_2)$ are labeled by the elements of the Weyl group $W(C_2)$ up to a torus degree of freedom. The $W(C_2)$ is a Coxeter system generated by the simple reflections $s_1$ and $s_2$ with the relations $s_1^2 = s_2^2 = 1,\ s_1s_2s_1s_2 = s_2s_1s_2s_1$. (We employ the convention such that the indices 1 and 2 correspond to the short and the long simple root of $C_2$, respectively.) The $\pi_i$ is the irreducible $A_q(C_2)$ module corresponding to $s_i$. The irreducible representation for $s_is_js_is_j$ is given by $\pi_i \otimes \pi_j \otimes \pi_i \otimes \pi_j$ $(i \neq j)$. We write such a tensor product representation as $\pi_{ijij}$ for short. The relation $s_1s_2s_1s_2 = s_2s_1s_2s_1$ implies the equivalence $\pi_{1212} \simeq \pi_{2121}$. Therefore there is a unique map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q$$

characterized by the intertwining relations and the normalization:

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_{2121}(\Delta(f)) \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \pi_{1212}(\Delta(f)) \quad (\forall f \in A_q(C_2)), \\ &\Psi(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We find it convenient to work with $\mathcal{K}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{K} = \Psi P_{1234} :\ \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q,$$

where $P_{1234} : x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \mapsto x_4 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1$ is the linear operator reversing the order of the tensor product. The intertwining relation (2.4) is translated into

$$\pi_{2121}(\Delta(f)) \circ \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K} \circ \pi_{2121}(\tilde{\Delta}(f)) \quad (\forall f \in A_q(C_2)), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}(t_{ij}) = \sum_{l_1,l_2,l_3} t_{l_3j} \otimes t_{l_2l_3} \otimes t_{l_1l_2} \otimes t_{il_1}$.

Introduce the matrix elements of $\mathcal{K}$ by $\mathcal{K}(|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \otimes |l\rangle) = \sum_{a,b,c,d} \mathcal{K}_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d} |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \otimes |c\rangle \otimes |d\rangle$. We set $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d} = \epsilon^{b+l}\mu_1^{2k-2c}(\rho\mu_2)^{j-b}\mathcal{K}_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}$ by using the parameters in (2.2) and (2.3). Then it turns out that $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}$ is a polynomial in $q$ free from all the other parameters [7]. More precisely, $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d} \in q^\eta\mathbb{Z}[q^2]$ holds, where $\eta = 0, 1$ is specified by $\eta \equiv bd + jl \mod 2$. It satisfies [7, eq.(3.25)] which in the present notation reads

$$K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d} = \binom{j,l}{b,d}_{q^2} \binom{i,k}{a,c}_{q^4} K_{a,b,c,d}^{i,j,k,l}. \tag{2.6}$$

We introduce the (parameter-free) 3D reflection operator $K \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_q)$[1] by

$$K(|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \otimes |l\rangle) = \sum_{a,b,c,d} K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d} |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \otimes |c\rangle \otimes |d\rangle. \tag{2.7}$$

Appendix A lists the relation (2.5) for $K$ with $f = t_{i-1,j-1}$ as $\langle$ij$\rangle$. The 3D reflection equation (1.2) follows from the equivalence $\pi_{123212323} \simeq \pi_{323212321}$ of the irreducible representations for $A_q(C_3)$ reflecting the two reduced expressions $s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2s_3s_2s_3 = s_3s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1$ of the longest element of the Weyl group $W(C_3)$. Further properties of $K$ are available in [7].

[1] We warn that $K$ and $\mathcal{K}$ here are denoted by $\mathcal{K}$ and $K$, respectively in [7].

2.2. $K$ **as the transition coefficient of PBW bases.** Let $U_q^+(C_2)$ be the positive part of the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $U_q(C_2)$. It is an associative algebra generated by $e_1$ and $e_2$ obeying the $q$-Serre relations:

$$e_1^3e_2-[3]_qe_1^2e_2e_1+[3]_qe_1e_2e_1^2-e_2e_1^3=0,\quad e_2^2e_1-[2]_{q^2}e_2e_1e_2+e_1e_2^2=0,$$

where $[m]_q=\frac{q^m-q^{-m}}{q-q^{-1}}$. According to the general theory [11], the $U_q^+(C_2)$ admits two natural PBW bases $\{E_{\mathbf{1}}^{a,b,c,d}\mid(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4\}$ and $\{E_{\mathbf{2}}^{a,b,c,d}\mid(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4\}$ corresponding to the reduced expressions $s_1s_2s_1s_2$ and $s_2s_1s_2s_1$ of the longest element of $W(C_2)$. Explicitly they read

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{\mathbf{1}}^{a,b,c,d}=\frac{(b_4')^a(b_3')^b(b_2')^c(b_1')^d}{[a]_q![b]_{q^2}![c]_q![d]_{q^2}!},\quad E_{\mathbf{2}}^{a,b,c,d}=\frac{(b_1)^a(b_2)^b(b_3)^c(b_4)^d}{[a]_{q^2}![b]_q![c]_{q^2}![d]_q!},\\
&b_1=e_2,\ b_2=e_1e_2-q^2e_2e_1,\ b_3=\frac{e_1b_2-b_2e_1}{[2]_q},\ b_4=e_1,\\
&b_1'=e_2,\ b_2'=e_2e_1-q^2e_1e_2,\ b_3'=\frac{b_2'e_1-e_1b_2'}{[2]_q},\ b_4'=e_1,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

where $[m]_q!=[m]_q[m-1]_q\cdots[1]_q$. As the special case $\mathfrak{g}=C_2$ of the result for general simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ [9], one has

$$E_{\mathbf{2}}^{a,b,c,d}=\sum_{i,j,k,l}K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}\,E_{\mathbf{1}}^{l,k,j,i}.\tag{2.9}$$

This relation in turn characterizes the matrix element $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}$ of the intertwiner $K$ also as the transition coefficient of the PBW bases. See [16] for the recent development on this topic. Note that the equality of the weight on the both sides implies $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}=0$ unless $(a+b+c,b+2c+d)=(i+j+k,j+2k+l)$.

## 3. Polynomial formula

3.1. $K$ **in terms of** $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$**.** In [7, Th. 3.4] the matrix element $K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}$ was expressed as a finite sum of the form

$$K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}=\delta_{i+j+k}^{a+b+c}\delta_{j+2k+l}^{b+2c+d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(-1)^{r_0}q^{\Phi}\binom{r_1,\dots,r_6}{s_1,\dots,s_{11}}_{q^2}\binom{r_7}{s_{12},s_{13}}_{q^4}.\tag{3.1}$$

Here $r_i,s_i$ are at most linear and $\Phi$ is at most quadratic in $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda$. The indices $a,b,c,d,i,j,k,l$ enter many places and their dependence is quite involved.

Our aim here is to provide an alternative formula which is more structural. It is expressed in terms of *polynomials* in the four variables that accommodate the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ in the four components of $|i\rangle\otimes|j\rangle\otimes|k\rangle\otimes|l\rangle\in\mathcal{F}_{q^2}\otimes\mathcal{F}_q\otimes\mathcal{F}_{q^2}\otimes\mathcal{F}_q$.

**Theorem 3.1.** *(i) There are a family of polynomials $\{Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\mid(b,c)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2\}$ in variables $x,y,z,w$ characterized by the q-difference equations E22–E55 in Appendix A and the condition $Q_{0,0}(x,y,z,w)=1$. (ii) The following formulas are valid for the matrix elements of the 3D $K$:*

$$K_{i,j,k,l}^{a,b,c,d}=\delta_{i+j+k}^{a+b+c}\delta_{j+2k+l}^{b+2c+d}\frac{q^{\phi_K-\varphi_{b,c}}}{(q^2)_b(q^4)_c}Q_{b,c}(q^{4i},q^{2j},q^{4k},q^{2l}),\tag{3.2}$$

$$\phi_K=(a-k)(d-j)+(b-l)(c-i)-2(b-j)(c-k),\tag{3.3}$$

$$\varphi_{b,c}=3b(b-1)+2c(3c-2)+8bc.\tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* The intertwining relations (2.5) for $K$ are listed in $\langle 22\rangle-\langle 55\rangle$ in Appendix A. Substituting (3.2) into them one finds that they are equivalent to E22–E55 as illustrated there along $\langle 24\rangle$. The normalization condition $K_{0,0,0,0}^{0,0,0,0}=1$ also matches $Q_{0,0}(x,y,z,w)=1$. Thus there is a unique

family of functions $\{Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \mid (b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}\}$ satisfying E22–E55 due to the unique existence of the intertwiner $K$. Combining E22 and E23 in Appendix A, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) &= wy(z-1)q^{4b+8c-4}Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)\\
&+ wx(y-1)yzq^{4b+4c-4}Q_{b-1,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(y-1)q^{6b+8c-6}Q_{b-1,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)\\
&+ w(x-1)y(z-1)q^{4b+8c-4}Q_{b-1,c}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(x-1)yq^{6b+8c-6}Q_{b-1,c}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w), \qquad (3.5)\\
Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) &= -w^2y(z-1)zq^{4b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)\\
&+ wx(y-1)zq^{4b+4c-6}(q^{2(b+2c)}-q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,w)\\
&- (w-1)w(y-1)zq^{6b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)\\
&+ w(x-1)(z-1)q^{4b+8c-10}(q^{2(b+2c)}-q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(x-1)q^{6b+8c-10}(q^{2(b+2c)}-q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w). \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

By induction on $b$ and $c$ they tell that $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$ is a polynomial in $x,y,z,w$. □

The power $\phi_K$ in (3.3) is invariant under the exchange $(a,b,c,d) \leftrightarrow (i,j,k,l)$. Therefore (2.6) implies another general formula:

$$K^{a,b,c,d}_{i,j,k,l} = \delta^{a+b+c}_{i+j+k}\delta^{b+2c+d}_{j+2k+l}\, q^{\phi_K-\varphi_{j,k}} \begin{pmatrix} l \\ b,d \end{pmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ a,c \end{pmatrix}_{q^4} Q_{j,k}(q^{4a},q^{2b},q^{4c},q^{2d}). \qquad (3.7)$$

If one switches from $q$ to $p=q^{-1}$ and introduces the functions of $p,x,y,z,w$ by

$$\hat{Q}_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) = p^{\varphi_{b,c}}Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)|_{q\to p^{-1}},$$

the recursion relations (3.5) and (3.6) slightly simplify as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Q}_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) &= wy(z-1)p^{2b-2}\hat{Q}_{b-1,c}(x,y,p^4z,w)\\
&+ wx(y-1)yzp^{2b+4c-2}\hat{Q}_{b-1,c}(x,p^2y,z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(y-1)\hat{Q}_{b-1,c}(x,p^2y,z,p^2w)\\
&+ w(x-1)y(z-1)p^{2b-2}\hat{Q}_{b-1,c}(p^4x,p^{-2}y,p^4z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(x-1)y\hat{Q}_{b-1,c}(p^4x,y,z,p^2w), \qquad (3.8)\\
\hat{Q}_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) &= -w^2y(z-1)zp^{4b+4c-2}\hat{Q}_{b,c-1}(x,y,p^4z,w)\\
&- wx(y-1)zp^{2b+4c-6}(wyzp^{2(b+2c)}-p^2)\hat{Q}_{b,c-1}(x,p^2y,z,w)\\
&- (w-1)w(y-1)zp^{2b+4c-2}\hat{Q}_{b,c-1}(x,p^2y,z,p^2w)\\
&- w(x-1)(z-1)p^{2b-2}(wyzp^{2(b+2c)}-p^2)\hat{Q}_{b,c-1}(p^4x,p^{-2}y,p^4z,w)\\
&+ (w-1)(x-1)(1-wyzp^{2(b+2c-1)})\hat{Q}_{b,c-1}(p^4x,y,z,p^2w). \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Here are some examples of $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$'s with small $b,c$:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{1,0}(x,y,z,w) &= wxy^2z - w - xy + 1,\\
Q_{0,1}(x,y,z,w) &= q^2(wxyz - wz - x + 1) - wz\left(wxy^2z - w - xy + 1\right),\\
Q_{2,0}(x,y,z,w) &= q^6(w-1)(xy-1) + q^4\left(-w^2xy^2z + w^2 + wxy - w + xy^2 - xy\right)\\
&\quad - q^2xy^2(wxyz - wz - x + 1) + wxy^2z\left(wxy^2z - w - xy + 1\right)\\
&\quad - q^4(w - w^2 + xy - xyw - xy^2 + xy^2zw^2),\\
Q_{1,1}(x,y,z,w) &= q^{10}(w-1)(x-1) - q^8(w-1)wz(xy-1)\\
&\quad + q^6\left(-w^2xyz + w^2z - wx^2y^2z + 2wxyz - wz + x^2y - xy\right)\\
&\quad + q^4wz\left(w^2xy^2z - w^2 + wx^2y^3z - wxy^2z - wxy + w - x^2y^2 + xy\right)\\
&\quad + q^2wxy^2z(wxyz - wz - x + 1) - w^2xy^2z^2\left(wxy^2z - w - xy + 1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

One notices that these $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$ are polynomials also in $q^2$. To show it in general we introduce

$$\mathcal{S}_{b,c} = \{(r,s,t,u) \in \mathbb{Z}^4_{\geq 0} \mid \min(u-t, 2r-s, b-s+2t-u, c-r+s-t) \geq 0\}, \tag{3.10}$$

which is a finite subset of $\{(r,s,t,u) \in \mathbb{Z}^4_{\geq 0} \mid s/2 \leq r \leq b+c,\ t \leq u \leq b+2c\}$.

**Proposition 3.2.** *(i) Let $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) = \sum_{r,s,t,u} D^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} x^r y^s z^t w^u$ with $D^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ independent of $x,y,z,w$. Then $D^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = 0$ unless $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b,c}$. (ii) $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z,w]$. (iii) $q^{-\varphi_{b,c}}Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{-2},x,y,z,w]$.*

*Proof.* (i) By induction on $b$ and $c$, it suffices to show that all the monomials $x^r y^s z^t w^u$ which survive possible cancellations in the right hand sides of (3.5) and (3.6) satisfy $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b,c}$ assuming $D^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u} = 0$ unless $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b-1,c}$ and $D^{b,c-1}_{r,s,t,u} = 0$ unless $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b,c-1}$, respectively. We illustrate the procedure for (3.6). The treatment of (3.5) is completely similar. First consider the condition $u - t \geq 0$ in (3.10). The right side of (3.6) contains no prefactor $w^{u'}z^{t'}$ such that $u' - t' < 0$, therefore this condition is trivially satisfied. Second consider the condition $2r - s \geq 0$ in (3.10). The terms in the right side of (3.6) that apparently break it are

$$\begin{aligned}
&- w^2y(z-1)zq^{4b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{2r=s}\\
&- (w-1)wyzq^{6b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{2r=s}\\
&- w(z-1)q^{4b+8c-10}(-q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{2r=s}\\
&- (w-1)q^{6b+8c-10}(-q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{2r=s},
\end{aligned}$$

where $|_{2r=s}$ means the contribution of the monomials $x^r y^s z^t w^u$ such that $2r = s$. This vanishes due to $Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{2r=s} = Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{2r=s}$ and $Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{2r=s} = Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{2r=s}$. Similarly the proof of the third and the fourth conditions $b - s + 2t - u \geq 0$ and $c - r + s - t \geq 0$ in (3.10) reduce to checking

$$\begin{aligned}
&w^2yzq^{4b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{b=s-2t+u}\\
&- w^2yzq^{6b+8c-8}Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{b=s-2t+u}\\
&- w(x-1)q^{4b+8c-10}(q^{2(b+2c)} - q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{b=s-2t+u}\\
&+ w(x-1)q^{6b+8c-10}(q^{2(b+2c)} - q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w)|_{b=s-2t+u} = 0,\\
&- wxzq^{4b+4c-6}(q^{2(b+2c)} - q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(x,q^{-2}y,z,w)|_{c-1=r-s+t}\\
&+ wxzq^{4b+8c-10}(q^{2(b+2c)} - q^2wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,q^{-4}z,w)|_{c-1=r-s+t} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise the previous case, it is easy to see that these terms pairwise cancel.

(ii) By induction on $b$ and $c$, it suffices to show $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z,w]$ from (3.5) and (3.6) by assuming $Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z,w]$ and $Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z,w]$,

respectively. This can easily be checked term by term in the right hand sides. For instance consider the contribution in (3.5) whose $q$-dependent part is $q^{4b+8c-4}Q_{b-1,c}(q^{-4}x, q^2y, q^{-4}z, w)$. It consists of the monomials of the form $q^{4b+8c-4-4r+2s-4t}D^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u}x^ry^sz^tw^u$ with $D^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2]$ by the assumption. From (i) we know $D^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u} = 0$ unless $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b-1,c}$. This ensures $4b+8c-4-4r+2s-4t \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if $D^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u} \neq 0$.

(iii) Likewise (ii) one can show $\hat{Q}_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[p^2,x,y,z,w]$ using (3.8) and (3.9). □

By a similar inductive argument it is easy to show

**Proposition 3.3.**

$$\lim_{q\to 0} Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) = (-1)^c(xy^2)^{b+c-1}(zw)^{b+2c-1}Q_{1,0}(x,y,z,w),$$
$$\lim_{q\to\infty} q^{-\varphi_{b,c}}Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) = 1 - xy^{\delta^0_c} - wz^{\delta^0_b} + xwy^{\delta^0_c+\delta^1_{b+c}}z^{\delta^0_b+\delta^1_b\delta^0_c},$$
$$Q_{b,c}(x,1,1,w) = (-1)^c x^{b+c}w^{b+2c}(x^{-1};q^4)_{b+c}(w^{-1};q^2)_{b+2c},$$
$$Q_{b,c}(x,y,1,1) = (-1)^c(xy^2)^{b+c}(y^{-1};q^2)_{b+2c},$$
$$Q_{b,c}(1,1,z,w) = (-1)^c(zw)^{b+2c}(z^{-1};q^4)_{b+c},$$

*where* $(b,c) \neq (0,0)$ *in the first two relations.*

Thus the power $\varphi_{b,c}$ (3.4) gives the *exact* degree of $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$ in $q$. The third result for instance reflects $K^{a,b,c,d}_{i,0,0,l} = 0$ unless $(a,d) = (i-b-c, l-b-2c) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

Now we present an explicit form of $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The following formula is valid:*

$$Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) = q^{\varphi_{b,c}} \sum_{(r,s,t,u)\in\mathcal{S}_{b,c}} (-1)^{r+u}q^{\phi_Q-\psi_{r,s}}C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}x^ry^sz^tw^u, \tag{3.11}$$

$$C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = \frac{\binom{b,u-t}{b+2t-s-u,2r-s}_{q^2}}{(q^4)_r(q^4)_{u-t}(q^4)_{c-r+s-t}}(-1)^s q^{\psi_{r,s}} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\in\mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} (-1)^{\beta+\gamma}q^{\phi_C}\,\Xi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\Xi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \binom{b-s+t-\alpha, 2r-s+\beta}{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,u-t-\alpha,t-\beta,b-s-\alpha+\beta,s-\beta-\gamma}_{q^2}\binom{c+s-r-\beta,c+\gamma}{c-r+\gamma}_{q^4}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\phi_Q = (s-2t+u)^2 + 2r(r+2t+1) - (2b-1)(s+u) - 4c(r+t), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\phi_C = \alpha(\alpha+1+2t) + \beta(\beta-1-2\alpha+2b-4r) + \gamma(\gamma-1-4r), \tag{3.15}$$

$$\psi_{r,s} = s(4r-s+1). \tag{3.16}$$

In view of the support property of the symbols in (1.3), the sum (3.12) is limited to those $\alpha,\beta,\gamma$ such that all the lower entries in (3.13) are nonnegative, which also ensures that all the upper entries are so. Thus it ranges over a finite subset of $\{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha \leq u-t,\ \beta \leq t,\ \gamma \leq s\}$. The dependence of $\Xi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ on $(b,c,r,s,t,u)$ has been suppressed in the notation for simplicity.

From Proposition 3.2 (ii), we know $q^{\varphi_{b,c}+\phi_Q-\psi_{r,s}}C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2]$. Actually computer experiments suggest the following conjecture

$$C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2], \quad \lim_{q\to 0} C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = 1. \tag{3.17}$$

In general $\Xi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is not necessarily a polynomial but a rational function of $q^2$. In the special case $b=0$ or $c=0$, $C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ admits a simple formula:

$$C^{b,0}_{r,s,t,u} = \binom{b}{u,b+2t-s-u,2r-s}_{q^2}\binom{u}{t,u-t,s-r-t}_{q^4}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$C^{0,c}_{r,s,t,u} = \binom{2r}{s,2t-s-u,2r-s}_{q^2}\binom{c}{r,u-t,c-r+s-t}_{q^4}. \tag{3.19}$$

The latter is equivalent to $A^{0,c}_{r,s,t,u} = (q^2)_t(q^2)_{2r}/(q^4)_r$ in (3.20), which can be verified by following Steps (i)–(v) in Section 3.2.

**Example 3.5.** The following is the list of the nonzero $K^{3,1,0,2}_{i,j,k,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{3,1,0,2}_{1,3,0,0} &= -q^6(1-q+q^2)(1+q+q^2),\\
K^{3,1,0,2}_{2,1,1,0} &= -q^{10}(1-q+q^2)(1+q+q^2),\\
K^{3,1,0,2}_{2,2,0,1} &= (1+q^2)(1-q^2+q^4-q^6+q^8-q^{10}-q^{14}),\\
K^{3,1,0,2}_{3,0,1,1} &= q^6(1+q^2)(1-q^2+q^4-q^6+q^8-q^{10}-q^{14}),\\
K^{3,1,0,2}_{3,1,0,2} &= q^6(1+q^2-q^{14}-q^{16}-q^{18}),\\
K^{3,1,0,2}_{4,0,0,3} &= q^{14}(1-q+q^2)(1+q+q^2)(1-q^{16}).
\end{aligned}$$

According to (3.2) they are expressed by various special values of $Q_{1,0}(x,y,z,w) = wxy^2z - w - xy + 1$ as

$$K^{3,1,0,2}_{1,3,0,0} = \frac{Q_{1,0}(q^4,q^6,1,1)}{q^4(1-q^2)},\ K^{3,1,0,2}_{2,1,1,0} = \frac{Q_{1,0}(q^8,q^2,q^4,1)}{1-q^2},\ K^{3,1,0,2}_{2,2,0,1} = \frac{Q_{1,0}(q^8,q^4,1,q^2)}{1-q^2},$$
$$K^{3,1,0,2}_{3,0,1,1} = \frac{q^6Q_{1,0}(q^{12},1,q^4,q^2)}{1-q^2},\ K^{3,1,0,2}_{3,1,0,2} = \frac{q^6Q_{1,0}(q^{12},q^2,1,q^4)}{1-q^2},\ K^{3,1,0,2}_{4,0,0,3} = \frac{q^{14}Q_{1,0}(q^{16},1,1,q^6)}{1-q^2}.$$

On the other hand according to (3.7) they are also expressed in terms of $Q_{b,c}(q^{12},q^2,1,q^4)$ with various $b,c$. For instance one has

$$K^{3,1,0,2}_{2,1,1,0} = \frac{q^{-10}Q_{1,1}(q^{12},q^2,1,q^4)}{(1-q^2)^2(1-q^4)(1-q^{12})},\quad K^{3,1,0,2}_{3,0,1,1} = \frac{q^4Q_{0,1}(q^{12},q^2,1,q^4)}{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)}.$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.** We find it convenient to introduce $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ by

$$C^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = \binom{b}{s,t,b-s+2t-u,2r-s}_{q^2}\binom{c}{u-t,c-r+s-t}_{q^4}A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}. \tag{3.20}$$

Then substitution of (3.11) into the difference equations E22–E55 in Appendix A leads to the recursion relations for $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$. In what follows we outline how they can be solved in Steps (i)–(v) to yield (3.11)–(3.16). An important feature in the derivation is the boundary condition $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = 0$ unless $(r,s,t,u) \in \mathcal{S}_{b,c}$ which stems from Proposition 3.2 (i).

(i) Combining E35 and E45, one gets

$$A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u-1} + q^{2u}A^{b-1,c}_{r,s,t,u-1}\quad (t<u),$$

which leads to

$$A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{u-t} q^{\alpha(\alpha+2t+1)}\binom{u-t}{\alpha,u-t-\alpha}_{q^2}A^{b-\alpha,c}_{r,s,t,t}.$$

Henceforth we concentrate on $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,t}$ with $\min(b-s+t,c+s-r-t,2r-s)\geq 0$.

(ii) From E24, one gets

$$A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,t} = q^{2(b-s+t)}(1-q^{2s})A^{b,c}_{r,s-1,t-1,t-1} + (1-q^{2(b-s+t)})A^{b,c}_{r,s,t-1,t-1}\quad (b-s+t\geq 0),$$

which leads to

$$A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,t} = \sum_{\beta=(t-s)_+}^{t-(s-b)_+} q^{2(t-\beta)(b-s+t-\beta)}\binom{s,t,b-s+t}{\beta,t-\beta,s-t+\beta,b-s+t-\beta}_{q^2}A^{b,c}_{r,s-t+\beta,0,0},$$

where $(x)_+ = \max(x,0)$. Under the assumption $\min(b-s+t,c+s-r-t,2r-s)\geq 0$, all the summands $A^{b,c}_{r,m,0,0}$ appearing here satisfy the condition $(r,m,0,0)\in\mathcal{S}_{b,c}$ in (3.10). Henceforth we concentrate on $A^{b,c}_{r,s,0,0}$ with $\min(b-s,c+s-r,2r-s)\geq 0$.

(iii) By setting $t = u = 0$ in the recursion relation of $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ derived from E34, one gets

$$A^{b,c}_{r,s,0,0} = A^{b-1,c}_{r,s,0,0} \quad (b > s). \tag{3.21}$$

Henceforth we concentrate on $A^{b,c}_{r,b,0,0}$ with $\min(b + c - r, 2r - b) \geq 0$.

(iv) By setting $t = u = 0$ in the recursion relation of $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ derived from E32, one gets

$$(1 - q^{4+4c})A^{b-1,c+1}_{r,s-1,0,0} - q^{2+4r-2s}(1 - q^{4(c-r+s)})A^{b,c}_{r,s-1,0,0} - (1 - q^{2(2r-s+1)})A^{b,c}_{r,s,0,0} = 0.$$

Setting $s = b$ and applying (3.21) further, one finds

$$(1 - q^{4+4c})A^{b-1,c+1}_{r,b-1,0,0} - q^{2+4r-2b}(1 - q^{4(b+c-r)})A^{b-1,c}_{r,b-1,0,0} - (1 - q^{2(2r-b+1)})A^{b,c}_{r,b,0,0} = 0$$

for $2r \geq b,\ b + c \geq r$. This allows one to decrease $b$, leading to

$$A^{b,c}_{r,b,0,0} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{b-(r-c)_+} (-1)^\gamma q^{\gamma(\gamma+1+4r-2b)} \begin{pmatrix} b, 2r-b \\ 2r, \gamma, b-\gamma \end{pmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{pmatrix} b+c-r, b+c-\gamma \\ c, b+c-r-\gamma \end{pmatrix}_{q^4} A^{0,b+c-\gamma}_{r,0,0,0}.$$

Henceforth we concentrate on $A^{0,c}_{r,0,0,0}$ with $c \geq r$.

(v) By setting $b = s = t = u = 0$ in the recursion relation of $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ derived from E53, one gets $A^{0,c}_{r,0,0,0} = (1 - q^{4r-2})A^{0,c}_{r-1,0,0,0}$. Thus $A^{0,c}_{r,0,0,0} = \frac{(q^2)_{2r}}{(q^4)_r} A^{0,c}_{0,0,0,0}$. By setting $b = r = s = t = u = 0$ in the recursion relation of $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ derived from E23 or E54, one gets $A^{0,c}_{0,0,0,0} = A^{0,c-1}_{0,0,0,0}$. From $Q_{0,0}(x, y, w, z) = 1$ it follows that $A^{0,0}_{0,0,0,0} = 1$. Therefore $A^{0,c}_{r,0,0,0} = \frac{(q^2)_{2r}}{(q^4)_r}$ $(c \geq r)$.

Synthesizing the results in Steps (i)–(v) one obtains a formula for $A^{b,c}_{r,s,t,u}$ as a triple sum with respect to $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ over a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$. Express the ratio of the $q$-factorials contained in the summand by using the symbol (1.3). Then a little inspection shows that the sum can actually be relaxed to $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ due to its support property mentioned after (1.3). Together with (3.20) one arrives at (3.12)–(3.16). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

## 4. Analogous result on 3D $R$

Our result may be viewed as a generalization of the analogous fact for the 3D $R$ [2, 3]. We review it here with a few supplements for comparison as it is a closely related object associated with the quantized algebra of functions $A_q(A_2)$ [6, 7] and constitute the companion in the 3D reflection equation (1.2). As an application the expression of the 3D $R$ (4.20) announced in [8, eq. (9)] is derived here for the first time. See [2, 3, 7] for more aspects.

The 3D $R$ is the linear operator on $\mathcal{F}_q^{\otimes 3}$ whose parameter (except $q$) free part is characterized, up to a normalization, by

$$\begin{aligned} &R(\mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{a}^\mp)R, \\ &R(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm) = (\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm + \mathbf{a}^\mp \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{k})R, \\ &R(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm - q\,\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{a}^\pm \otimes \mathbf{k})R, \\ &[R, \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{1}] = [R, \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

They follow either as the intertwining relations for the irreducible $A_q(A_2)$ modules [6] or from a quantum geometry consideration [2, 3]. Actually there should be *nine* intertwining relations in total reflecting the $3 \times 3$ matrix nature of $A_q(A_2)$. The last one is given by

$$R(\mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ - q\,\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- - q\,\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k})R. \tag{4.2}$$

Define the matrix elements of the $R$ by $R(|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle) = \sum_{a,b,c} R^{a,b,c}_{i,j,k} |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \otimes |c\rangle$. We adopt the normalization $R^{0,0,0}_{0,0,0} = 1$. Setting $R^{a,b,c}_{i,j,k} = \delta^{a+b}_{i+j} \delta^{b+c}_{j+k}\ q^{(a-j)(c-j)} P_b(q^{2i}, q^{2j}, q^{2k})/(q^2)_b$ [2], the

relations (4.1) and (4.2) are translated into the $q$-difference equations:

$$P_b(q^2x,y,z) - P_b(x,y,z) - q^{2-2b}x(1-q^{2b})(1-q^{2-2b}yz)P_{b-1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

$$P_b(x,y,q^2z) - P_b(x,y,z) - q^{2-2b}z(1-q^{2b})(1-q^{2-2b}xy)P_{b-1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$(1-x)P_b(q^{-2}x,y,z) - q^{-2b}z(1-q^{-2b}xy)P_b(x,y,z) - P_{b+1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$(1-z)P_b(x,y,q^{-2}z) - q^{-2b}x(1-q^{-2b}yz)P_b(x,y,z) - P_{b+1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$P_b(x,q^2y,z) - P_b(x,y,z) + q^{4-4b}xyz(1-q^{2b})P_{b-1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$yP_{b+1}(x,y,z) + (1-y)P_b(x,q^{-2}y,z) - (1-q^{-2b}xy)(1-q^{-2b}yz)P_b(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$(y-q^{2b})P_b(x,y,z) + (1-y)P_b(q^2x,q^{-2}y,q^2z) = (1-q^{2b})(1-q^{2-2b}xy)(1-q^{2-2b}yz)P_{b-1}(x,y,z) \tag{4.9}$$

and the condition $P_0(x,y,z) = 1$. It is known that $R = R^{-1}$ [7, Prop. 2.4]. Applying this to (4.1) and (4.2) one can extract another set of $q$-difference equations:

$$P_b(x,y,z) - q^{-2b}zP_b(q^2x,y,z) - (1-z)P_b(x,q^2y,q^{-2}z) = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$P_b(x,y,z) - q^{-2b}xP_b(x,y,q^2z) - (1-x)P_b(q^{-2}x,q^2y,z) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

$$q^{-2b}x(1-y)P_b(x,q^{-2}y,q^2z) + (1-x)P_b(q^{-2}x,y,z) - (1-q^{-2b}xy)P_b(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

$$q^{-2b}z(1-y)P_b(q^2x,q^{-2}y,z) + (1-z)P_b(x,y,q^{-2}z) - (1-q^{-2b}yz)P_b(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

$$(1-q^{2b})P_{b-1}(x,y,z) - P_b(q^2x,y,q^2z) + q^{2b}P_b(x,q^2y,z) = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

$$q^{-2b}xz(1-y)P_b(x,q^{-2}y,z) - (1-x)(1-z)P_b(q^{-2}x,y,q^{-2}z) + P_{b+1}(x,y,z) = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

$$P_{b+1}(x,y,z) - (1-x)(1-z)P_b(q^{-2}x,q^2y,q^{-2}z) - xzq^{-4b}(y-q^{2b})P_b(x,y,z) = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

The recursion (4.15), which was adopted as the defining relation of $P_b(x,y,z)$ in [2], is a member of these compatible system of difference equations. Any one of the recursions (4.5), (4.6) or (4.16) also determines $P_b(x,y,z)$ uniquely as a polynomial in $x,y,z$. (More precisely $P_b(x,y,z) \in q^{-2b(b-1)}\mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z]$ holds.) The original problem (4.1) is symmetric with respect to the interchange of the first and third components. Accordingly $P_b(x,y,z) = P_b(z,y,x)$ holds and there are four pairs of relations in (4.3)–(4.16) connected by this symmetry. The solution admits an explicit formula [3]

$$P_b(x,y,z) = (q^{2-2b}z;q^2)_b\, {}_2\phi_1(q^{-2b},q^{2-2b}yz,q^{2-2b}z;q^2,q^2x) \tag{4.17}$$

in terms of the $q$-hypergeometric series ${}_2\phi_1(a,b,c;q,z) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a;q)_n(b;q)_n}{(q;q)_n(c;q)_n}z^n$, which is actually terminating in (4.17) due to the entry $q^{-2b}$. It is most easily established from (4.6) by gathering the terms in powers of $x$.

Another interesting result is the integral formula [14, eq. (104)]:

$$P_b(x,y,z) = q^{-b(b-1)}(q^2)_b \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i u^{b+1}} \frac{(-q^{2-2b}xyzu;q^2)_\infty(-u;q^2)_\infty}{(-xu;q^2)_\infty(-zu;q^2)_\infty}, \tag{4.18}$$

where the integral (4.18) encircles $u = 0$ anti-clockwise picking up the residue. Equivalently the generating series is factorized as

$$\sum_{b\geq 0}\frac{q^{b(b-1)}u^b}{(q^2)_b}P_b(x,q^{2b-2}y,z) = \frac{(-xyzu;q^2)_\infty(-u;q^2)_\infty}{(-xu;q^2)_\infty(-zu;q^2)_\infty}. \tag{4.19}$$

Substitution of (4.18) into (4.3)–(4.16) gives rise to two situations. In the simple case the integrands just sum up to zero under an appropriate rescaling of $u$. The other case requires a slight maneuver. Let us illustrate it along (4.15) as an example. After the substitution of (4.18) and replacement of $u$ by $q^2u$ in the second term, one is left to show

$$\oint \frac{du(-q^{-2b}xyzu;q^2)_\infty(-q^2u;q^2)_\infty}{u^{b+2}(-xu;q^2)_\infty(-zu;q^2)_\infty}\left(xz(1-y)u(1+u) - (1-x)(1-z)u + (1-q^{2b+2})(1+u)\right) = 0.$$

By setting $f(u) = (-q^{-2-2b}xyzu;q^2)_\infty(-u;q^2)_\infty/((-xu;q^2)_\infty(-zu;q^2)_\infty)$, this is identified with the identity $0 = \oint \frac{du}{u^{b+1}}(f(q^2u) - q^{2b+2}f(u))$. All the relations (4.3)–(4.16) can be verified in a similar manner.

Due to (4.18) matrix elements of the 3D $R$ are expressed as [3]

$$R^{a,b,c}_{i,j,k} = \delta^{a+b}_{i+j}\delta^{b+c}_{j+k}\, q^{ik+b} \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i u^{b+1}} \frac{(-q^{2+a+c}u;q^2)_\infty(-q^{-i-k}u;q^2)_\infty}{(-q^{a-c}u;q^2)_\infty(-q^{c-a}u;q^2)_\infty}.$$

Note that the ratio of the infinite products equals $(-q^{-i-k}u;q^2)_i/(-q^{c-a}u;q^2)_{a+1}$ because of $a-c = i-k$. Applying the standard expansion to it and collecting the coefficients of $u^b$, one gets

$$R^{a,b,c}_{i,j,k} = \delta^{a+b}_{i+j}\delta^{b+c}_{j+k} \sum_{\lambda,\mu} (-1)^\lambda q^{ik+b+\lambda(c-a)+\mu(\mu-i-k-1)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda+a \\ \lambda, a \end{pmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ \mu, i-\mu \end{pmatrix}_{q^2} \tag{4.20}$$

summed over $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ under the constraint $\lambda + \mu = b$. This was announced in [8, eq. (9)].

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have introduced a family of polynomials $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^2,x,y,z,w]$ characterized by the recursion relations (3.5) and (3.6), or more generally E22–E55 in Appendix A. They form a compatible set of $q$-difference equations associated with the 'maximal' representation $\pi_{1212} \simeq \pi_{2121}$ of the quantized algebra of functions $A_q(C_2)$. The variables $x, y, z, w$ correspond to the four positive roots of $C_2$ as indicated in (2.8) and (2.9). We have shown some basic properties of the polynomials in Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. The $q$-difference equations are solved in Section 3.2 and a new formula of the 3D $K$, the solution [7] to the 3D reflection equation (1.2), is obtained in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4. We have also included an expanded review on the closely related result on the 3D $R$ in Section 4. It is an interesting question if the family of polynomials $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$ admit a factorizable generating series analogous to (4.19). Another challenge will be to establish a similar polynomial formula for the intertwiner of $A_q(G_2)$ [9, Sec. 4.4] for which *no* general expression has been constructed.

We remark that the system of intertwining relations $\langle 22\rangle - \langle 55\rangle$ in Appendix A is *autonomous* in the sense that the apparent $q$ can completely be removed by replacing $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}$ by $q^{-1/2}\mathbf{k}$ and $q^{-1}\mathbf{K}$, respectively. The new ones act on the Fock space by $\mathbf{k}|m\rangle = q^{m+\frac{1}{2}}|m\rangle$, $\mathbf{K}|m\rangle = q^{2m+1}|m\rangle$. The resulting relations $\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{a}^\pm = q^{\pm 1}\mathbf{a}^\pm\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{a}^\pm\mathbf{a}^\mp = 1 - q^{\mp 1}\mathbf{k}^2$ and $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{A}^\pm = q^{\pm 2}\mathbf{A}^\pm\mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{A}^\pm\mathbf{A}^\mp = 1 - q^{\mp 2}\mathbf{K}^2$ can be realized in terms of the Weyl pairs $\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w}\rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{W}\rangle$ satisfying $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{w} = q\mathbf{w}\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{W} = q^2\mathbf{W}\mathbf{K}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^+ &= (1-q^{-1}\mathbf{k}^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{w}, \qquad \mathbf{a}^- = (1-q\mathbf{k}^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{w}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{A}^+ &= (1-q^{-2}\mathbf{K}^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{A}^- = (1-q^2\mathbf{K}^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{W}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is an interesting problem to seek a solution $K$ to the intertwining relations $\langle 22\rangle - \langle 55\rangle$ in Appendix A for the canonical representation of the Weyl pairs (called non-compact representation of the $q$-oscillator algebra [13]). Especially the autonomous feature mentioned above indicates a possible extension to the modular double setting where not only $q$ but also its modular dual $\tilde{q}$ ($(\log q)(\log \tilde{q}) = \text{const}$) enters everywhere compatibly via the Faddeev non-compact quantum dilogarithm [3, 10]. Such an analysis effectively poses an analytic continuation of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ away from $q^{\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and $q^{1+2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. The result in this paper may be viewed as a first step in this direction.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sergey Sergeev for communication on reference. This work is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 24540203 from JSPS.

## Appendix A. Difference equations for $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$

Let $\langle$ij$\rangle$ be the intertwining relation for (2.5) $K$ (rather than $\mathcal{K}$) with the choice $f = t_{i-1,j-1}$. They all become independent of the parameters in (2.2) and (2.3) other than $q$. Explicitly they read as follows (cf. [7, App.A]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle 22\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k},\, K]=0,\\
\langle 23\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+)K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}),\\
\langle 24\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-)K = K(\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-),\\
\langle 25\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k},K]=0,\\
\langle 32\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k})K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+),\\
\langle 33\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k} - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+,\, K]=0,\\
\langle 34\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k})K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ + \mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}),\\
\langle 35\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+)K = K(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+),\\
\langle 42\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-)K = K(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-),\\
\langle 43\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ + \mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k})K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}),\\
\langle 44\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^- - q\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k} - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-,\, K]=0,\\
\langle 45\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k})K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-),\\
\langle 52\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k},\, K]=0 \quad (\text{same as } \langle 25\rangle),\\
\langle 53\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+)K = K(\mathbf{A}^-\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+),\\
\langle 54\rangle:&\quad (\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-)K\\
&\quad = K(\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{a}^-\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q^2\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{K}\otimes\mathbf{k}),\\
\langle 55\rangle:&\quad [\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{a}^+ - q\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{A}^+\otimes\mathbf{k},\, K]=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

The relations $\langle 25\rangle$ and $\langle 52\rangle$ imply the factor $\delta^{a+b+c}_{i+j+k}\delta^{b+2c+d}_{j+2k+l}$ in (3.2). The other ones are translated into difference equations of $Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)$. For instance consider the equation $\langle 24\rangle$. In terms of the matrix elements defined by (2.7) it reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
&q^{b+2c}(1-q^{2d+2})K^{a,b,c,d+1}_{i,j,k,l}\\
&= q^{2k+l}(1-q^{2j})K^{a,b,c,d}_{i+1,j-1,k,l} + q^{2i+l}(1-q^{4k})K^{a,b,c,d}_{i,j+1,k-1,l} + q^{2i+j}(1-q^{2l})K^{a,b,c,d}_{i,j,k,l-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.2) into this and setting $(x,y,z,w)=(q^{4i},q^{2j},q^{4k},q^{2l})$ one gets the difference equation E24 given below. Similarly, the equation $\langle ij\rangle$ is cast into Eij below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{E22}:\ & yq^{-2(4b+6c+1)}Q_{b,c+1}(x,y,z,w)+q^{-2(4b+6c+1)}(wyz-q^{2b+4c+2})Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+(w-1)(y-1)Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{-2}w)+wy(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(x,y,q^{-4}z,w)=0,\\
\text{E23}:\ & -q^{-2(4b+6c+1)}Q_{b,c+1}(x,y,z,w)-wzq^{-2(4b+6c+1)}Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+wx(y-1)zq^{-2(b+2c)}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,w)+(w-1)(x-1)Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,y,z,q^{-2}w)\\
&+w(x-1)(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,q^{2}y,q^{-4}z,w)=0,\\
\text{E24}:\ & (wyzq^{-2(b+2c)}-1)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)+(1-w)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,q^{-2}w)\\
&-w(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{2}y,q^{-4}z,w)-w(y-1)zq^{-2(b+2c)}Q_{b,c}(q^{4}x,q^{-2}y,z,w)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{E32}:\ & q^{-6b-8c}(q^{2(b+2c)}-wyz)(q^{4(b+c)}-xy^2z)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-y(q^{2b}-1)q^{-8(b+c)}(q^{4(b+c)}-xy^2z)Q_{b-1,c+1}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-yzq^{-8(b+c)}Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)+(y-1)Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,w)\\
&+y(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(x,y,q^{-4}z,q^{2}w)=0,\\
\text{E33}:\ & wzq^{-6b-8c}(q^{4(b+c)}-xy^2z)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+(q^{2b}-1)q^{-8(b+c)}(q^{4(b+c)}-xy^2z)Q_{b-1,c+1}(x,y,z,w)+zq^{-8(b+c)}Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+(x-1)Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,y,z,w)+x(y-1)zq^{-2(b+2c)}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{2}w)\\
&+(x-1)(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,q^{2}y,q^{-4}z,q^{2}w)=0,\\
\text{E34}:\ & (yzq^{-2(b+2c)}-1)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+z(q^{4c}-1)q^{-2(b+2c+1)}(q^{2(b+2c)}-q^{2}wyz)Q_{b+1,c-1}(x,y,z,w)\\
&+(q^{2b}-1)q^{-2b}(q^{2(b+2c-1)}-wyz)(q^{4(b+c-1)}-xy^2z)Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-(z-1)q^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{2}y,q^{-4}z,q^{2}w)-(y-1)zq^{-2(b+2c)}Q_{b,c}(q^{4}x,q^{-2}y,z,q^{2}w)=0,\\
\text{E35}:\ & -wz(q^{4c}-1)Q_{b+1,c-1}(x,y,z,w)-Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,q^{2}w)\\
&-w(q^{2b}-1)q^{4c}(q^{4(b+c-1)}-xy^2z)Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)+Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{E42}:\ & xy^2(q^{2b}-1)Q_{b-1,c+1}(x,y,z,w)+xyq^{2b}(wyz-q^{2(b+2c)})Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-(w-1)q^{6b+8c}Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,q^{-2}w)-Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,\\
\text{E43}:\ & xy^2(1-q^{2b})Q_{b-1,c+1}(x,y,z,w)+wxyq^{2b}(q^{2(b+2c)}-yz)Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-(w-1)x(y-1)q^{6b+4c}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,q^{4}z,q^{-2}w)\\
&-(w-1)(x-1)q^{6b+8c}Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,q^{2}y,z,q^{-2}w)+Q_{b+1,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,\\
\text{E44}:\ & (q^{4c}-1)(q^{2(b+2c-1)}-wyz)Q_{b+1,c-1}(x,y,z,w)-wyq^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(q^{4}x,y,z,w)\\
&+xy^2(q^{2b}-1)q^{4c}(wyz-q^{2(b+2c-1)})Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)+(w-1)q^{4c}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{2}y,z,q^{-2}w)\\
&+(w-1)(y-1)Q_{b,c}(q^{4}x,q^{-2}y,q^{4}z,q^{-2}w)+yQ_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,\\
\text{E45}:\ & wxy^2z(q^{2b}-1)q^{4c}Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)-wz(q^{4c}-1)Q_{b+1,c-1}(x,y,z,w)\\
&-wq^{-2b}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{2}y,z,w)+(w-1)Q_{b,c}(x,y,q^{4}z,q^{-2}w)+Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{E53}: \ & -xyq^{-2(b+2c)}Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,q^2w)+(x-1)Q_{b,c}(q^{-4}x,q^2y,z,w)\\
& +x(y-1)q^{-4c}Q_{b,c}(x,q^{-2}y,q^4z,w)+Q_{b,c}(x,y,z,w)=0,\\
\text{E54}: \ & y(q^{2b}-1)Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)+q^{2b}(q^{4c}-1)(q^{2(b+2c-2)}-wyz)Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,z,w)\\
& -q^{-4b-4c+6}Q_{b,c}(x,q^2y,z,w)+yq^{-6b-8c+6}Q_{b,c}(q^4x,y,z,q^2w)\\
& -(y-1)q^{-4b-8c+6}Q_{b,c}(q^4x,q^{-2}y,q^4z,w)=0,\\
\text{E55}: \ & -wzq^{2b}(q^{4c}-1)Q_{b,c-1}(x,y,z,w)+(q^{2b}-1)Q_{b-1,c}(x,y,z,w)\\
& -q^{-4b-8c+6}Q_{b,c}(x,y,q^4z,w)+q^{-6b-8c+6}Q_{b,c}(x,q^2y,z,q^2w)=0.
\end{aligned}$$

## References


[1] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Dover (2007).
[2] V. V. Bazhanov and S. M. Sergeev, *Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and hidden structure of quantum groups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **39** 3295–3310 (2006).
[3] V. V. Bazhanov, V. V. Mangazeev and S. M. Sergeev, *Quantum geometry of 3-dimensional lattices*, J. Stat. Mech. P07004 (2008).
[4] A. P. Isaev and P. P. Kulish, *Tetrahedron reflection equations*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**12** 427–437 (1997).
[5] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R matrix for the generalized Toda system*, Commun. Math. Phys. **102** 537–547 (1986).
[6] M. M. Kapranov and V. A. Voevodsky, *2-Categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equations*, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **56** 177–259 (1994).
[7] A. Kuniba and M. Okado, *Tetrahedron and 3D reflection equations from quantized algebra of functions*, J. Phys. A: Math.Theor. **45** 465206 (27pp) (2012).
[8] A. Kuniba and M. Okado, *Tetrahedron equation and quantum R matrices for q-oscillator representations*, preprint (arXiv: 1411.2213).
[9] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and Y. Yamada, *A common structure in PBW bases of the nilpotent subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and quantized algebra of functions*, SIGMA 9 (2013), 049, 23 pages.
[10] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and S. Sergeev, *Tetrahedron equation and quantum R matrices for modular double of $U_q(D^{(2)}_{n+1}), U_q(A^{(2)}_{2n})$ and $U_q(C^{(1)}_n)$*, preprint (arXiv:1409.1986).
[11] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Mathematics **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.
[12] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** 193–225 (1990).
[13] K. Schmüdgen, *Integrable operator representations of $\mathbb{R}^2_q$, $X_{q,\gamma}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_q(2,\mathbb{R})$*, Commun. Math. Phys. **159** 217–237 (1994).
[14] Sergey M. Sergeev, *Classical integrable field theories in discrete (2 + 1)-dimensional spacetime*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** 295206 (19pp) (2009).
[15] Y. S. Soibelman, *Selected topics in quantum groups*, Int. J. Modern Phys. A**7** Suppl. **1B** 859–887 (1992).
[16] T. Tanisaki, *Modules over quantized coordinate algebras and PBW-bases*, preprint (arXiv:1409.7973).
[17] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Tetrahedra equations and integrable systems in three-dimensional space*, Soviet Phys. JETP **79** 641–664 (1980).



*E-mail address*: atsuo@gokutan.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

*E-mail address*: maruyama@gokutan.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan